

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	ED CV 23-914 PA (MRW)	Date	September 15, 2023
Title	Haynes v. Holm		

Present:	Hon. Michael R. Wilner, U.S. Magistrate Judge		
	James Muñoz	n/a	
	Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	
	Attorneys for Plaintiff:	Attorneys for Defendant:	
	n/a	n/a	

Proceedings: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL

1. In July 2023, Judge Wilner issued a detailed order screening this pro se civil rights action. (Docket # 9.) The Court's July order dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for various procedural and substantive defects. The order further required Plaintiff to file an amended complaint or dismiss this action by August 31. However, the Court received no further complaint from Plaintiff by or after the due date.¹

2. The Court could rightly terminate the action today under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41. Nevertheless, in the interests of fairness to a self-represented prisoner, the Court instead ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause as to why the matter should not be dismissed. Plaintiff may cure this OSC by filing a statement explaining his failure to comply with the Court's previous order and submitting either a voluntary dismissal of the action or an amended complaint.

3. Plaintiff's response to this OSC will be due by October 13.

Failure to comply with the terms of this order may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Proc. 41(b). Applied Underwriters, Inc. v. Lichtenegger, 913 F.3d 884 (9th Cir. 2019).

¹ The Court received a cryptic letter requesting that the Court send mail to Plaintiff in care of his father. (Docket # 10.) While this may literally comply with Plaintiff's obligation to maintain a valid mailing address (as required under the Local Rules of Court), that does not relieve Plaintiff of his obligation to comply with legal deadlines established in a federal lawsuit that he commenced.